UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,338	08/03/2006	Toshiyuki Yoshikawa	KUZ0033US.NP	9785
26259 7590 10/24/2007 LICATA & TYRRELL P.C. 66 E. MAIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			MOONEY, MICHAEL P	
MARLTON, NJ 08053			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2883	·
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

poreilly@licataandtyrrell.com

		AIL			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/588,338	YOSHIKAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael P. Mooney	2883			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •				
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	· ·	ed in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed			
		· ·			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/3/06. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshihiro et al. (JP 11-223812) and further in view of Uchida et al. (20020154260)/Tomohiro et al. (JP 2001-305335).

Yoshihiro et al. teaches a backlight device for a liquid crystal display, comprising at least a light diffuser plate, a light source disposed at a backside of the light diffuser plate (fig. 1), and a reflector for reflecting light from the light source, wherein a liquid crystal panel is disposed at a frontside of the light diffuser plate (fig. 1), and direct light from said light source and reflected light from said reflector diffuse into and transmit through said light diffuser plate to illuminate said liquid crystal panel from backside (fig. 1), said backlight device for a liquid crystal display being configured such that: said light source radiates ultraviolet rays and heat along with visual light (fig. 1), and said light diffuser plate is constrained at least one side thereof (fig. 1),

Application/Control Number: 10/588,338 Page 3

Art Unit: 2883

Although Yoshihiro et al. does not explicitly state the diffusion plate "has a saturated water absorption rate of not more than 0.9% as well as a color difference (.DELTA.E) of not greater than 2.0 as specified in JIS K 7105 after being exposed for 500 hours to an artificial light used for an artificial light source test specified in JIS K7350-2" it would have been obvious to do so because it is conventionally known to use the appropriate materials/design standards for the purpose of ensuring durability/performance/quality standards for the purpose of ensuring a quality working product.

It is further noted that a variety of materials are disclosed not only by Yoshihiro et al. but also by Uchida et al. (20020154260)/Tomohiro et al. (JP 2001-305335). It is conventionally known to incorporate the appropriate materials in order to meet accepted design standards and combining Yoshihiro/ Uchida/ Tomohiro would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill for the purpose meeting the conventional design standards in the diffuser plate art.

Therefore, by the reasons and references given above, each and every element of each of claims 2-7 is rendered as obvious by Yoshihiro/ Uchida/ Tomohiro (see in particular Yoshihiro fig. 1). Thus claims 2-7 are rejected.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Mooney whose telephone number is 571-272-2422. The examiner can normally be reached during weekdays, M-F.

Art Unit: 2883

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael P. Mooney

Examiner

Art Unit 2883

Frank G. Font

Find I Fond

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2883

FGF/mpm 10/1/07